

Analysis & Recommendations for Entusi Retreat Center Electrical System

> Daniel Zimmerle, David Trinko Colorado State University February 24, 2017



Electrical System Agenda

- Hybridizing the Entusi Electrical System
- Expanding electrification to nearby villages
 Time permitting:
- Simulation overview.
- Failure analysis



Introduction

- "Hybridize" Entusi electrical system:
 - Solar photovoltaic (PV) array
 - Engine-generator set (genset)
 - Battery storage

Current system

- Run hours kept at a minimum
- Retreat center without power much of the day
- Noise whenever electricity required After hybridization:
- Generator started as needed (control to be developed)
- Electricity available all day
- Noise only when generator required





Introduction

- Goals of hybridization:
 - Reduce generation noise
 - Reduce fossil fuel dependence
 - Improve site sustainability
- Establish Entusi as a model microgrid facility & training location





Current Entusi Power System

- Two major types of loads:
 - Small, frequently switched
 - Phone and laptop charging
 - Some lights
 - Small appliances
 - Large, on for extended periods
 - Thermal loads:
 - Oven (5.5 kW),
 - Water heaters (7.5 kW total)
 - Some lights





Current Entusi Power System

Load Type	Peak Power Draw (kW)		
Lighting	1.5		
Water Heaters	7.5		
Oven	5.5		
Water Pump	0.5		
Power Sockets	3.0		
Refrigeration	0.5		
Total	18.5		

 Conclusion: Generator is properly sized if all loads are on concurrently (not a likely occurrence)



Measured Data for Dec. 30, 2016



Circuit 1: Dining building; Circuit 2: tent and bathroom on one side





Approaches for Hybrid System

- 1) Do nothing
- 2) Add PV & battery
 - All current loads stay the electric
 - Generator kicks in for larger loads
- 3) Add PV & battery + Convert oven and/or water heaters to LPG (propane) units
 - Engine runs less frequently
 - Reduce size/cost of PV/battery components



Hybridizing Current System (Case 1)

- Install PV array and battery system (keep existing generator)
 - 18.5 kW PV (~100 square meters)
 - 78 kWh battery



Colorado State University



Hybrid System with Thermal Load Conversion (Cases 2-4)

- Replace oven and/or water heaters with LPG-powered units to reduce electric load
- Install PV array and battery system (keep existing generator)

	PV Size (kW)	Battery Size (kWh)	PV Array Size (ft^2)
Case 2: Replace Oven	15.0	64.5	90
Case 3: Replace Water Heaters	9.5	40	60
Case 4: Replace All Heating Loads	5.5	25	30



Current and Predicted Fuel Costs

		Diesel	LPG		Generator	Appliance
	Peak (5	• • • • •	• 10		Weekly	Weekly
	mo/vr)	\$160	\$43		Fuel Cost	Fuel Cost
Weekly	Off			Case 1: No Replacements	\$43	\$0
Cost	$\operatorname{Dst} \left \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{On} \\ \operatorname{Book} (7 \right & \$43 \\ \end{array} \right \\ \$2'$		¢วว	Case 2: Replace Oven	\$42	\$62
	mo/yr)	φ40	φΖΖ	Case 3: Replace Water	\$0	\$174
Linit (Cost	\$0 90/liter	\$3.00/ka	Case 4: Replace All Heating	^	.
		φ0.00/Ng	Loads	\$0	\$236	

Reported current weekly fuel costs

Predicted weekly fuel costs for optimized hybrid system (average)



- Cost Measurements:
 - Levelized cost of electricity (LCOE,, \$/kWh)
 - $LCOE_l = \frac{Implementation Cost + Total Generator Fuel Cost}{Total Electricity Consumed}$
 - Levelized cost of energy (*LCOE_n*, \$/kWh)
 - $LCOE_n = \frac{Implementation \ Cost + Total \ Fuel \ Costs}{Total \ Electricity \ Consumed \ + \ Total \ Heat \ Consumed}$
 - Applicable when thermal loads served by LPG units

10% discount rate, 3% diesel fuel inflation





Hybrid System Lowest Cost Configurations

	PV Size (kW)	Battery Size (kWh)	Generator Hours (avg/day)	Install Cost	LCOEI (\$/kWh)	Implementation + Generator Run Cost	LCOEn (\$/kWh)	Implementation + Total Fuel Cost
Case 0: No Hybrid System	0.0	0	10.0	\$0	\$0.758	\$123,000	\$0.758	\$123,000
Case 1: No Replacements	18.5	78.0	0.2	\$86,000	\$0.556	\$91,000	\$0.556	\$91,000
Case 2: Replace Oven	15.0	64.5	0.0	\$81,000	\$0.605	\$85,000	\$0.570	\$121,000
Case 3: Replace Water Heaters	9.5	40	0.0	\$54,000	\$0.821	\$57,000	\$0.363	\$119,000
Case 4: Replace All Heating Loads	5.5	25	0.0	\$42,000	\$0.990	\$45,000	\$0.380	\$142,000





ELECTRIFICATION OF SURROUNDING AREAS





Electrification of Surrounding Areas

- During CSU's visit, performed survey of nearby residential areas
- Main observations:
 - 1) Housing density close to Entusi is too low to merit connecting to Entusi's system
 - 2) Highest housing density areas also show greatest capability/desire to purchase electricity (some already have solar panels)
 - 3) Utility line ends just 0.5 km from high density housing area (major risk factor)













End of Line

R6-053 R6-049 R6-052 💿 R6-051 R6-048 R6-050 78-048

 R6-043
 R6-043
 R6-046
 R7-010

 R6-055
 R6-044
 R6-036
 R7-010

 R6-055
 R6-044
 R6-036
 R7-007

 R6-056
 R6-043
 R6-036
 R6-036

 R6-042
 R6-032
 R6-034
 R6-032

 R6-057
 R6-041
 R6-032
 R6-033

 R6-057
 R6-041
 R6-032
 R6-035

 R6-024
 R6-024
 R6-025

 R6-034
 R6-035
 R6-326

R6-023 R6-023 R6-022 R6-021

R6-020

R6-018 R6-017 R6-016 R6 014 R6-015

R5-013 R6-012 R6-011

HS-DAT HS-DAT HS-DAT HS-DAT HS-DAT HS-DAT R5-087

R5 080 B5-081 85-067

EE-082 R5 080 R5 061 R5 0/95 079 R5-058 R5-064 R5-077 R5-065 R5-065 R5-076 R5-065 R5-076 R5-046 R5-046 R5-074

R5-048 (R5-074 R5-050 R5-047 (R5-044 R5-075 R5-068 (R5-067



How we got the answers SIMULATION OVERVIEW



Measured Data for Dec. 30, 2016



Circuit 1: Dining building; Circuit 2: tent and bathroom on one side



21

Data Description: Load Measurements

- Power draws measured during CSU's visit: Dec. 30 and 31, 2016
- Useful for validating on/off times for different loads
- Limits to applicability of data
 - Not typical loading during visit
 - Small sample (only 2 full days)
 - Uncertainty in sensor measurement



Load Simulation

 Peak season profile for current load configuration:







Simulation and Analysis

- 2 components to simulation:
 - 1) Load simulation
 - -2) Hybrid system generation simulation
- Both components: hourly simulation for a span of 20 years





Load Simulation

- Probability that each load is on during each hour of the day
- Peak and off-peak seasons
 - Staff testimony, observations from visit, measured data
- Create hourly load profile for 20 years





- Use solar irradiation data from Kigali, RW
- Each hour:
 - 1) Power from PV serves load
 - 2) Extra PV power charges battery or extra load served by discharging battery
 - -3) Generator serves remaining load



- Salient outputs:
 - Levelized cost of electricity $(LCOE_{l}, \text{KWh})$
 - $LCOE_l = \frac{Implementation Cost + Total Generator Fuel Cost}{Total Electricity Consumed}$
 - 10% discount rate, 3% diesel fuel inflation
 - Total installation and running costs
 - Average daily generator runtime (hours)
 - Levelized cost of energy ($LCOE_n$, kWh)

 - $LCOE_n = \frac{Implementation \ Cost + Total \ Fuel \ Costs}{Total \ Electricity \ Consumed \ + \ Total \ Heat \ Consumed}$
 - Applicable when thermal loads served by LPG units



- Costs estimated using unit prices:
 - PV array: \$2/watt (\$2,000/kW)
 - Battery: \$350/kWh
 - Generator fuel: \$2/hour generator runs
 - Assuming constant rate of fuel consumption, as reported by staff
- Current system estimated cost of electricity: ~\$0.35/kWh





- Simulation run for many combinations of PV array and battery sizing
- Optimal configuration for each case: lowest LCOE₁





Hybrid System Lowest Cost Configurations

	PV Size (kW)	Battery Size (kWh)	Generator Hours (avg/day)	Install Cost	LCOE _i (\$/kWh)	Implementation + Generator Run Cost	<i>LCOE_n</i> (\$/kWh)	Implementation + Total Fuel Cost
Case 1: No Replacements	18.5	78.0	0.2	\$86,000	\$0.556	\$91,000	\$0.556	\$91,000
Case 2: Replace Oven	15.0	64.5	0.0	\$81,000	\$0.605	\$85,000	\$0.570	\$121,000
Case 3: Replace Water Heaters	9.5	40	0.0	\$54,000	\$0.821	\$57,000	\$0.363	\$119,000
Case 4: Replace All Heating Loads	5.5	25	0.0	\$42,000	\$0.990	\$45,000	\$0.380	\$142,000



Hybrid System Optimal Configurations

- Overall optimum configuration (minimum LCOE):
 - Case 1, retain electric oven and water heaters
 - -\$0.556/kWh
 - PV array: 18.5 kW (\$37,000)
 - Battery: 78 kWh (\$27,300)
 - Generator: runs average of 0.2 hours/day (i.e. runs rarely to serve peak loads)



Other Considerations

- *LCOE*, may not be most important metric
- E.g.: minimize up-front cost, minimize LCOE_n

	Initial Implementation	<i>LCOEn</i> (\$/kWh)	
Case 1: No	COSI		
Replacements	\$86,000	\$0.556	
Case 2: Replace	¢01 000	\$0.570	
Oven	φο1,000		
Case 3: Replace	¢54.000	¢0.262	
Water Heaters	φ34,000	\$0.303	
Case 4: Replace All	¢42.000	¢0.280	
Heating Loads	Φ4 ∠,000	φ0.360	





ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS







Failure Analysis

- Selection of potential failure modes for hybrid system:
 - -1) Inverter failure
 - -2) Battery failure
 - 3) Generator failure





Inverter Failure

- No power from PV/battery system
- Generator serves load independently

- Not a problem if keep current generator







Battery Failure

- No electricity storage
- PV and generator must serve load
 - Generator must run more





Generator Failure

- PV/battery system must attempt to serve load
 - Current system has no safeguard against this: hybrid system more robust







Contact

Dan Zimmerle dan.zimmerle@colostate.edu 970-581-9945





energy.colostate.edu/p/svm